



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/295,935	04/21/1999	POLLY STECYK	240/103	7765

34313 7590 12/28/2006  
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP  
IP PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT  
4 PARK PLAZA  
SUITE 1600  
IRVINE, CA 92614-2558

EXAMINER
----------

SHANG, ANNAN Q

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2623

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	12/28/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/295,935

Applicant(s)

STECYK ET AL.

Examiner

Annan Q. Shang

Art Unit

2623

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 October 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Response to Arguments*

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/31/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to claims 1-46, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Casement et al (5,969,748)**, applicant argues discusses the prior art of record and argues that, '...Casement clearly does not 'compare[e] the selected content-based specification with the received content-based indicator when the time falls within the first finite time range specification' as claimed...' that "...does not combine the comparison of time and content, and thus does not meet the noted limitation of claim 12...independent claims 13, 19 and 25..." that "...does not describe or suggest combining the comparison of time and content such that if the content time is within a specified time range it would check to see if the indicated content exceeded a content specification..." that "...does not provide a teaching or any suggestion of the claimed invention, i.e.,... a finite time period and a content level or specification to be used with the selected finite time period..." (see page labeled 14+ of Applicant's Remarks).

In response, Examiner disagrees. Examiner notes applicant's arguments, however, however Casement discloses in column 3, line 33+, that, "...TV programs may be blocked by channel, rating, content, and/or time..." and gives series of examples. Casement meets the claimed limitations of "...comparing..." as follows: comparing the content-based specification (user defining rating) with the received content-based indicator (Rating of the EPG or Program schedule) when the reference time (recording

Art Unit: 2623

time) falls within the finite time range (time allowed to access); For example, a parent sets a first finite time range to access between 8:00PM to 10:00PM. The parent also selects a rating as PG-13, so that only program contents rated PG-13 can be selected within the time interval of 8:00PM-10:00PM. When a user selects a program at 9:00PM, by selecting any channel the system compares the content rating of the program of the EPG with the pre-set rating of PG-13 (see fig.4, steps 158, 160 and col.7, lines 6-10 where "time lock" =blocked out time segments). Hence applicants arguments are not persuasive, the 102(e) rejections of claims 1-46, is proper, meets all the claimed limitation, maintained as repeated below. **This office action is made final.**

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Casement et al (5,969,748).**

As to claims 1-12, note the **Casement** reference figs.1-2, discloses television schedule system with access control and further disclose a method of supervising

Art Unit: 2623

personal exposure to a consumer electronics device (User television Equipment 'User-TVE' 32) having a V-chip, the method comprising:

receiving program signal (Receiving Locations 'RL' 16-22 receives data-streams via Distribution Center 'DC' 10, figs.1 and col.2, lines 56-67) suitable for conversion by the consumer electronics device (RL 16-22) into user discernible information; receiving a content-based indicator (Rating, col.3, lines 33-43) indicative of the content of the user discernible information and timing information (Interactive TV Schedule guide 'IPG' includes timing information and rating or subject matter category, col.3, lines 13-21 and lines 33-43) indicative of the reference time; note that RL 16-22 includes STB 38, VCR 32/36, TV 30/34, etc., (col.2, line 64-col.3, line 13) which receives the TV programs and rating information transmitted from DC-10 or Service Provider 40 via link 28, satellite, telephone, cable or any suitable communications path and stores or processes data on the fly;

selecting a content-based specification (User via Remote Control 'RC', to select desired Rating, col.3, line 44-col.4, line 24) and first finite time range specification (user specified time period(s) within 24 hrs, a week, etc., lock/unlock by time, etc., figs.2B-2E col.4, line 2-col.5, line 17) associated with the selected content-based specification for each time period(s), RC 40 is used to perform the necessary user interaction to the IPG, such as locking/unlocking, blocking/unblocking or disabling/enabling subject matter category or objectionable material or adult programs and selecting specific time period(s);

comparing (RL 16-22 which inherently includes a Microprocessor 'MC', fig.3-4, col.4, line 25-col.5, line 17 and col.6, line 30-col.7, line 1+) the finite time range with the reference time; allowing user review of the user discernible information without user input or comparison of received content-based indicator (Rating) with a content-based specification (User selected Rating) if the reference time is outside the first finite time range specification; note that the parent can block/unblock, lock/unlock or disable/enable, etc, programs as desired and MC generates blocking signal to block programs within a restricted time period(s), MC further automatically make available to the parent all programs after the restricted time period;

comparing (MC) the selected content-based specification with the received content based indicator when the reference time falls within the first finite time range specification and impairing (MC) the program signal if the received content-based indicator exceeds the content-based specification associated with the first time range specification (fig.3-4, col.3, lines 33-43, col.4, line 25-col.5, line 17 and col.6, line 30-col.7, line 1+), note that a parent sets a first finite time range to access between 8:00PM to 10:00PM. The parent also selects a rating as PG-13, so that only program contents rated PG-13 can be selected within the time interval of 8:00PM-10:00PM. When a user selects a program at 9:00PM, by selecting any channel the system compares the content rating of the program of the EPG with the pre-set rating of PG-13 (see fig.4, steps 158, 160 and col.7, lines 6-10 where "time lock" =blocked out time segments).

As to claim 13, the claimed "a method of supervising the exposure to a consumer electronics device having a V-chip... comprising..." is composed of the same structural elements of rejected claim 1.

As to claim 14, Casement further discloses where the program signal, is impaired by scrambling the program signal (fig.3-4, col.4, line 25-col.5, line 17 and col.6, line 30-col.7, line 1+).

Claim 15 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 1.

As to claim 16, Casement further discloses where the one or more selected time range specifications repeat for each day of a workweek (col.4, line 61-col.5, line 5 and col.6, lines 48-61).

Claims 17 and 18 are met as previously discussed with respect to claims 1-12

Claims 19-24 are met as previously discussed with respect to claims 1-12

As to claim 25, the claimed "a consumer electronics device having a V-chip... comprising..." is composed of the same structural elements of rejected claim 19.

As to claim 26, Casement further discloses TV 30/34 "an output device coupled to the signal impairment mechanism of VCR 32/36, STB 38 for transferring the program signal into the user discernible information (fig.1).

Claims 27-29 are met as previously discussed with respect to claims 1-12

As to claim 30, the claimed "a switch..." is met by MC of RL 16-22.

Claim 31 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 26.

Claim 32 is met as previously discussed with respect to claims 27-28

Claim 33 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 1

Claims 34-36 are met as previously discussed with respect to claims 1-12

Claims 37-39 are met as previously discussed with respect to claim 1.

Claims 40-41 are met as previously discussed with respect to claim 1.

Claims 42-46 are met as previously discussed with respect to claims 1-12

### ***Conclusion***

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Annan Q. Shang** whose telephone number is **571-272-7355**. The examiner can normally be reached on **700am-400pm**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Christopher S. Kelley** can be reached on **571-272-7331**. The fax phone



Art Unit: 2623

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the **Electronic Business Center (EBC)** at **866-217-9197 (toll-free)**. If you would like assistance from a **USPTO Customer Service Representative** or access to the automated information system, call **800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA)** or **571-272-1000**.



**Annan Q. Shang**



**CHRIS KELLEY**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**